### McConkey/Sesko Property Development & Remediation Strategy Site Visit and Project Scoping Meeting Agenda

Location: Art Anderson Associates & 1725 Pennsylvania Avenue

Date: April 12, 2005

Attendance: City Of Bremerton, Art Anderson Associates, and Washington

Department of Ecology

1. What has happened so far?

- a. 31 January Meeting on site Roger Waid (KCCHA), Norm McLoughlin (KCCHA), Steve Anderson (KCCHA), Trip McConkey, Diane Robinson (City Council), Mike Shepherd (City Council) and Patrick Vasicek (Art Anderson Associates).
- Patrick Vasicek conducted research with Department of Ecology (both Bellevue & Olympia)
- 2. Decision documents developed:
  - a. Analysis of three most likely cleanup options (Attachment 1)
  - b. Cost Analysis & Comparison of the three options (Attachment 2)
  - c. Draft schedule option 3 (attachment 3)
  - d. Draft Scope of Work AE services (attachment 4)
- 3. Follow-on events:
  - Meeting between Patrick Vasicek, Phil Williams (City Public Works Director)
    and Janet Lunceford (DCD Code Compliance) on 23 February 2005 outcome –
    City has agreed to try to find a fund source to get the project started they have
    asked if McConkey's will agree to share in funding initial effort.
  - b. Follow-on meetings & discussions with McConkey's indicate that they want to be a responsible landowner, but they are very nervous about putting their toe in the water by themselves.
  - c. AAA needs a contract to start gathering historical information regarding the site need to interview people with knowledge & pictures of the site during operation of the plant. Paul McConkey will be invaluable in this preliminary assessment effort.
- 4. Funding sources available:
  - a. Cleanup Remediation Grant from Department of Ecology (City of Bremerton)
  - b. Cleanup & Mitigation Derelict Boat Grants from DNR (City of Bremerton)
  - c. Cleanup Insurance policies (pre-1980 policies often cover these kind of liabilities)
  - d. Cleanup, Mitigation & Development Low-interest loans KCCHA
  - e. Cleanup Payments/insurance from other responsible parties (Sesko's, Cascade Gas)
- 5. Agencies & Entities involved:
  - a. City of Bremerton
  - b. Department of Ecology
  - c. Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority
  - d. Mrs. Sesko
  - e. Other previous landowners
  - f. Cascade Natural Gas
  - g. Other state permitting agencies (environmental permitting for construction)
- 6. Why this strategy will work?
  - Patrick Vasicek initiated, developed and executed the Navy's cleanup program in the Northwest
  - b. DOE has a clear predisposition to stimulate Brownfield development using an innovative approach at this site.
  - c. KCCHA has agreed to stimulate development with low-interest loans.
  - Fish & Wildlife, DNR and everyone else want the sites cleaned up & boats removed.

- e. Overall Development/Cleanup Project could possibly be self-mitigating (i.e. boat removal & beach restoration serve as credits to allow for marina development.)
- f. The City of Bremerton is excited about the possibility of moving this site forward and is working to try act as a stimulus (with very limited funding) to get it moving.
- g. Diane Robinson, City Council person for this sector of the city, strongly supports the project
- h. All the PLP's will win in that remediation will be expedited and costs minimized focus is to drive the development of the marina and marine industrial businesses to become a major component of the cleanup (cap, phyto-remediation, etc.)

#### 7. Next Steps

- a. Get consensus on a goal to which all the parties can agreed it would be great if this was the finish line, but an intermediate goal to get us started is fine also.
- b. Resolve Sesko property conundrum
- c. Draft & negotiate an Agreed Order.

### McConkey/SESKO Property Remediation/Development Alternatives

# Option 1 City Assumes Ownership of both properties and conducts conventional cleanup – with remediation grants

Negotiate Potentially Liable Party settlement – Agreed or Enforcement Order - \$100,000

Remedial Investigation -Feasibility Study (RI/FS) – Includes DOE oversight -\$400,000

Cleanup Action Plan (Design) – Includes DOE oversight - \$100,000

Coordinate Derelict Boat Grant & Remove/recycle Vessels (6) – 1 \* 30,000 + 5 \* 3,000 = \$45,000

Demolish/remove Site improvements & Conduct Invasive Cleanup (Soil removal/extraction process) - \$1,500,000

Conduct Beach mitigation (Fish Mix) - \$100,000

### Option 2 Option 1 using site cap (non-invasive cleanup) – with remediation grants

Negotiate Potentially Liable Party settlement – Agreed or Enforcement Order - \$100,000

Focused Site Characterization-Feasibility Study, Includes DOE oversight - \$140,000

Cleanup Action Plan (Design) – Includes Department of Ecology (DOE) oversight - \$50,000

Coordinate Derelict Boat Grant & Remove/recycle Vessels (6) – 1 \* 30,000 + 5 \* 3,000 = \$45,000

Limited Source Removal Demolish/Recycle Site Improvements and install Cap – assumes recreational use - \$800,000

Conduct Beach mitigation (Fish Mix) - \$100,000

## Option 3 - McConkey, as ultimate owner of both sites conducts option 2 cleanup.

Negotiate Potentially Liable Party settlement – Agreed Order - \$45,000

Focused Site Characterization -Feasibility Study, Includes DOE oversight - \$140,000

Cleanup Action Plan (Design) – Includes DOE oversight - \$50,000

Improvement
Design/Permitting (Marina & Bldgs) - \$400,000

Develop mitigation scheme & Coordinate Derelict Boat Grant – connect to Derelict Boat Removal -\$8,000

Remove/recycle Vessels (6) - 1 \* 30,000 + 5 \* 3,000 = \$45,000

Limited Source Removal + Cost "Delta" to make A/C paving for parking lots and boat ramp = CAP – \$150,000

McConkey obtains loans and develops site (includes beach mitigation – self mitigating project) -\$?

McConkey/SESKO Properties - Rem	Liability Comparis		
	Option 1 - City Buys McConkey Property (0.75 Acre) - Invasive Cleanup	Option 2 - City Buys McConkey Property (0.75 Acre) - Non- invasive Cleanup	Option 3 - McConkey Buys or leases SESKO Property (0.5 acre) - Non-invasive Cleanup
Total Cleanup Costs (Includes SC/FS Costs)	\$2,200,000	\$1,190,000	\$385,00
McConkey cost allocation - 10%	\$220,000	\$119,000	\$38,50
City cost allocation - 10%	\$220,000	\$119,000	\$38,50
Cascade cost allocation - 80%	\$1,760,000	\$952,000	\$308,00
McConkey Detail:			
McConkey - Cleanup Costs (above)	\$220,000	\$119,000	\$38,50
McConkey Costs to purchase or lease SESKO Property from City (offer made = \$37,500)	NA	NA	\$37,500
McConkey property sale to City (0.75 acre @ \$110,000/ acre)	\$82,500	\$82,500	NA
Subtotal Costs to McConkey (Cleanup costs + SESKO property purchase - McConkey Property sale where applicable)	\$137,500	\$36,500	\$76,00
City Cost Detail:			
City - Cost for remediation (above)	\$220,000	\$119,000	\$38,500
City - Cost for Boat Removal	\$45,000	\$45,000	\$45,00
Subtotal Costs to City (remediation + Boat Removal - income from SESKO property sale and + cost to buy McConkey Property where applicable)	\$347,500	\$246,500	\$46,00
City Grant Detail:			
City - Remediation Grants (at 75% of City's Cost)	\$165,000	\$89,250	\$28,87
City - Boat Removal Grants (at 75% of City's	¢27 500	<b>\$27 500</b>	<b>ተ</b> ንፖ ርብ
cost) Subtotal City Grants	\$37,500 <b>\$202,500</b>	\$37,500 <b>\$126,750</b>	\$37,50 \$ <b>66,37</b>
Summary			
Net Costs to McConkey	\$137,500	\$36,500	\$76,00
Net Cost to City with Grants at 75% of City's Cost	\$145,000	\$119,750	-\$20,37
Net Costs to Cascade (above)	\$1,760,000	\$952,000	\$308,000
Total Time for Cleanup Required	5 years Min	4 years Min	2 years Max



